

Miscellaneous Engineering Services RFP 19-22

Questions & Answers

Deadline for Questions 2/18/19

#	Question	Answer
1	Page 6, Item 1 – Is the one-page firm capabilities section outside of the SF330 Section H?	The referenced subjective discussion is a one page or less letter of interest that should be included with the comprehensive proposal package. It may be included with or separate from SF330 Section H.
2	Page 6, Item 3 – Is a litigation history letter required from subcontractors?	Litigation history letters are not required from subcontractors.
3	Page 7, Part I Section H – Is the County requesting that only the two items listed be addressed in Section H? If not, is there a page limit for Section H?	The two items referenced are for additional information specifically requested by the County to supplement SF330. Section H should provide this information, or make clear reference to where the information is presented in the comprehensive proposal package. There is no page limit for Section H. As noted by the RFP, any other information the consultant would like to submit should be assembled into the comprehensive package. It is recommended to keep all information clear and concise.
4	Part VI Contract and Payment Policies states: “Standard Hourly Rate schedules shall be submitted annually.” Is the County also requesting that hourly rates and overhead factors be submitted along with our proposal?	The information is not required at this point. If a consultant is selected and we were to proceed with an hourly contract amendment to the base contract we would then ask to provide the Standard Hourly Rate schedule.
5	Is any issue taken with proposing a sub-consultant that may also be submitting as a prime on a separate County RFP response?	It is considered acceptable for a proposed sub-consultant to submit as a prime on separate County RFP responses.

2/19/2019